

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/087,127 02/28/2002 488422000600 Stephen Hebert 4406 EXAMINER 7590 08/27/2004 Johney U. Han NGUYEN, VI X Morrison & Foerster LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018 3731

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/1/
Office Action Summary		10/087,127	HEBERT ET AL.	VV
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Victor X Nguyen	3731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered time in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	une 2004.		
, —	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>□ Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6 and 13-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Is have been received in Applica Inity documents have been receiv In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National	l Stage
Attachmen	• •	n□	(DTO 442)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail l		
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 2003.			O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/087,127

Art Unit: 3731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 4-6 and 13-32 drawn to non-elected invention. In 6/14/2004, applicant elected to prosecute Group I, Species I. Furthermore, the applicant stated that all claims read upon the elected species. However, claims 4-6 and 13-32 do not read upon the elected species of Group I. Therefore, non-elected claims 4-6 and 13-32 are withdrawn from further consideration.

The requirement is deemed proper and is therefore made Final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McIntosh et al (U.S. 6,679,909).

McIntosh et al disclose in Figs 4-6, a stent delivery system for the treatment of vascular stenoses having the limitations of claims 1-3, including: an elongate wire (70), a radially expandable stent (60) positioned on the wire towards the distal end, where a tubular sheath member (24) covers at least a portion of the wire. The sheath is retractable from a first position where the stent is covered by the sheath (see col. 1, lines 24-56) to a second position where the stent is uncovered,

Application/Control Number: 10/087,127

Art Unit: 3731

and where the system further comprises a coil which is a radio-opaque disposed at the distal end of the wire (see col. 5, lines 12-15).

Regarding claims 7-11, McIntosh et al disclose the system further comprises at least one radio-opaque marker band (62) located on the wire distally of the stent (60), where the expandable stent comprises of a shape memory alloy (i.e., the shape memory alloy comprises of Nitinol) (see col. 7, lines 14-21).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over McIntosh et al in view of Gould et al (4,586,923).

McIntosh et al teach all limitations substantially as claimed except a flush port locates near a proximal end of the sheath. Gould et al teach the flush port locates near a proximal end of the sheath (figs. 3, 56) in order to advantageously attach other biomedical devices. Furthermore, it helps to facilitate introducing fluid material into the present device. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify McIntosh et al by adding the flush port in order to advantageously attach other biomedical devices. Furthermore, it helps to facilitate introducing fluid material into the present device.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/087,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,391,146 to That

U.S. Pat. No. 5,368,592 to Stern

U.S. Pat. No. 3,485,234 to Stevens

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn √/ 8/25/2004

JULIAN W. WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Julian We Woo